

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/076,331	02/15/2002	François Martin	PHF 97,628A	2441	
24737	7590 11/07/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			AN, SHAWN S		
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2613	6	
			DATE MAILED: 11/07/2003	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	$-\alpha$
	•			V
	Office Action Summary	10/076,331	MARTIN, FRANCOIS	
	·	Examiner	Art Unit	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Shawn S An	2613	
Period fo		pears on the cover sheet w	iai die correspondence address	
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of this will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	August 2003 .		
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde			its is
·	on of Claims	nolination		
•	Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-9</u> is/are pending in the ap	•		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraware. Claim(s) is/are allowed.	awii irom consideration.		
· · ·	Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 7-9</u> is/are rejected.			
·	Claim(s) 5 is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement		
	on Papers	or election requirement.		
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	epted or b) objected to by t	the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) 🗌 .	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ c	lisapproved by the Examiner.	,
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	• •		
12) 🗌 -	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in A	pplication No	
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Base the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·	
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	·		ation)
	The translation of the foreign language pracknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	, ,		
ttachment	(s)			
) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	 ·
	ademark Office ev. 04-01) Office A	ction Summary	Part of Paper	

Application/Control Number: 10/076,331

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Remarks

1. Applicant's submission of the terminal disclaimer as filed on 8/29/03 in Paper 5, has been acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

3. Claims 1, 3, and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Egawa et al (5,534,944).

Regarding claims 1 and 3, Egawa discloses a method of switching from a first video sequence to a second one, wherein an additional sequence of K pictures is inserted at the switching point between two sequences, K having a value sufficient in order to have compatible sequences of K uniform color pictures and the additional pictures being coded with few number of bits (Fig. 6; col. 6, lines 49-67; col. 7, lines 1-15).

Regarding claim 7, Egawa discloses MPEG-2 standard (col. 1, lines 29-64).

Regarding claim 8, Egawa discloses a control means for a selective switch over of the bitstream (412).

Regarding claim 9, Egawa discloses a switching device comprising selecting means provided for a selective switch over of the bitstream (Fig. 5).

Art Unit: 2613

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Egawa et al (5,534,944) in view of Chen et al (5,917,830).

Regarding claim 2, Kim does not specifically disclose an old sequence being replaced by a new ones is cut on a P picture, at a first switching point, and a sequence of K minimal P pictures is then inserted.

However, Chen discloses a well known concept comprising; (a) an old sequence to be replaced by a new ones being cut on a P picture, at a first switching point, and a sequence of K minimal P pictures is then inserted (Abstract, lines 7-15); and (b) after this sequence of additional pictures, at a second switching point the new sequence is inserted (Abstract, lines 11-22).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the relevant art employing a method of switching from a first video sequence to a second one as taught by Egawa et al to incorporate the well known concept of replacing the old sequence by the new ones on a P picture, at a first switching point, and a sequence of K minimal P pictures being then inserted as taught by Kim in order to prevent a discontinuity at the decoder.

Regarding claim 4, the Examiner takes official notice that it is considered well known feature, wherein the sequence is a sequence of pictures that are copies of a previous I or P picture in order to reduce the size of bits.

Art Unit: 2613

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim 1, but would be allowable: if claim 5 is rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim 1 and any intervening claims. Dependent claim 5 recites the novel feature of steps wherein the second sequence is cut at a third switching point, in order to be replaced by the first one, and at the third switching point additional pictures are similarly inserted until the first old picture to occur is an I picture, the first old sequence being then re-inserted.

The art of record fails to anticipate or make obvious the novel feature as specified in the claim 5. Accordingly, if the amendments are made to the claims listed above, and if rejected claims are canceled, the application would be placed in condition for allowance.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shawn An whose telephone number (703) 305-0099 and schedule are Monday through Thursday and not at work on Friday.

SHAVAN S. AN PATENT EXAMINER

SSA

November 4, 2003